Conlang Translation Relay 6.5 Ebisedian entry

H. S. Teoh

August 15, 2002

1 Orthographic text

зjhıdí.

ajhıdı
ø síty tu øsó ajhıdı
á kῶka avωnaot̂eá mýprum tumu. mıĉí øsó ajhıdı
ø zátı nu ajhıdı
ú da cogárau ke. Øsó ajhıdı
ø mapapá ce. mysó tὧma ta osó oro cugáre obú. tama re.

sjhıdı
ø támā aro bısādu ta mysó kınací. mysó cunací. mysó ronací. tama
 ke. keve machújā na sjhıdī da cwgáraa ve. mıĉí øsó sjhıdı
ú toká rosánø. sjhadī. ana akıla lýs lóru ke. ana akıla víy sjitam
ø skhetamú ce. ana akıla akhetamý sjitamú re. ana akıla cugrá lízy utáro rosánø.

ana jíe kele. keve øsó kesoκrøs sna sjhidiú da scωgrωś kekreú zo kekreú κ̂e. øsó kesobéjh scωgrωś snu mýcωgrωs dunu mýcugarā du sbisšdu ve. záti sjhidiø tu oghá kwks basšda icúro perim isú? tumu.

oghá kele? zotá ke. keve fát
3 sbisždoperokre
ø ve. bisždi. isí zoro isí na kili da bajá sifov
ώā¹ ke. na kili da rajá guséngā ce. nu kili da chárum burubárā larakár
ωma re. keve na kili da jwbrwá cwā mýtamau. uro kijhadá périm isú ke. kijhid
ø mýbisādochara ve. ana ajhidi
ø dímy ta mýe kele. tama. keve ajhidi
ø ghángochara isú.

2 Smooth Translation

Ladies and gentlemen.²

You(pl.) have decided that you(pl.) want to expunge the seven evils from the world. Therefore, you(pl.) should take heed as regards your eating habits.³ You(pl.) should show sympathy. You should not say, "I want to eat again!".⁴

 $^{^1 {\}rm In}$ the actual relay text, I mispelt this word as ${\bf sifov\acute{y}\bar{a}}.$ The meaning remains the same, regardless.

²The Ebisedian uses a single epicene plural pronoun here. It is a formal term of address; and, in the context of the rest of the passage, does carry the unfriendly overtones of the distant pronoun.

³Referring to gluttony or greediness, as the rest of the passage shows.

⁴I. e., "I want more food!".

You(pl.) keep telling other people,⁵ "this should not be so", "that should not be so", "the other should not be so".⁶ So, you must learn⁷ wisdom.⁸ Perhaps you go out, and twist the truth into a lie, and the lie into 'truth'; and by reason of food, you depart from wisdom.

If so, you ought to apportion your food,⁹ portion by portion; and distribute the food to those who have no food, who are starving. Consider this: by whom will a person¹⁰ continue to exist?¹¹

By whom? Look! and see the countless people. Each person, ¹² every moment, has their ¹³ arm painfully twisted, their feet bound, their face beaten upon by the weather ¹⁴; and their mouth sealed. These ones continue to live; ¹⁵ but they lost their personality. ¹⁶ If you(pl.) say, "this is not so", then you will continue to have [your] beast-personality. ¹⁷

3 Word-for-word Translation

You(pl., formal).

You(pl.) fixated-on the "ought you(pl.) to-cause curses-seven into-no-universe. ¹⁸" Therefore ought you(pl.) consider the of-you eating for-one. Ought from-you(pl.) sympathy for-two. Ought-not say, "wish next eating by-me." for-three.

You(pl.) keep-telling other person that "ought-not former-thing, ought-not this-thing, ought-not other-thing" ¹⁹ for-one. And-then keep-dreaming the in-you eating for-two. Therefore, ought you(pl.) listen to-wisdom. [About] you(pl.): perhaps you go outside for-one; perhaps you distort true-word into-anti-word²⁰

⁵Or, "another person". The Ebisedian uses a singular here, as a generic person representative of the "other people".

⁶Picking faults with the "other people".

⁷The Ebisedian has "listen to".

 $^{^8{\}rm The~original~word},$ ${\bf ros\acute{ani}},$ refers to wisdom in dealing with matters and things; hence, propriety, uprightness.

⁹That is to say, "you should start apportioning your food".

 $^{^{10}}$ I. e., among the starving.

¹¹That is to say, "who will support the starving people?"

¹²Literally, "a person"

¹³Epicene singular in Ebisedian.

 $^{^{14}}$ Literally, the liquid storms, the hot rain. The Ebisedian word, larskørumi, literally means "flowing color", and refers to the hot, plasma-like liquid matter ejected from a $\hat{\kappa}$ áları. Landmasses near an active $\hat{\kappa}$ áları are often bombarded with this matter on the surfaces facing it. The bac text has "rain"—this is the closest thing to rain in Ferochromon.

¹⁵Literally, "exist".

¹⁶Literally, "show no personality."

¹⁷Literally, "you will continue to show beast-personality".

 $^{^{18}{\}rm This}$ is an Ebisedian idiom. To cause something to be in no-universe means to cause one to cease to exist.

 $^{^{19}\}mathrm{This}$ is a highly idiomatic construct in Ebisedian. It uses the nominal associative prefixes for "former", "this", and "other". This is actually the original usage of the associative prefixes; in some cases, as here, the associative prefixes retain this meaning.

²⁰The Ebisedian **jítamı** literally means a "yes-word", or an "it-is-so word"; hence, "truth". On the other hand, **khétamı** is an "opposing word"; hence, "untruth", "anti-truth". Ebisedian distinguishes between absence and opposition: the lack of words of truth is **mýjitamı**,

for-two; perhaps you [distort] anti-word into-true-word for-three. Perhaps you by-food depart away-from wisdom.

Perhaps it-is-so these-things. Then ought divide-arrange the of-you(pl.) foods into-portion and portion for-one. Ought divide-give foods to-the no-food and-the no-eating people for-two. Consider you(pl.) this: "who(sg.) cause aperson within universe²¹ until-future?"

By-whom this-thing? Look for-one; and see countless-people for-two. About a person: now and-next now the of-him(epi.) arm being-pain-twisted for-one. The of-him(epi.) leg being-bound for-two. The of-him(epi.) face being-pummeled with-flowing-color for-three. And the of-him(epi.) lips being-sealed into-no-speaking. This <1>-him 22 in-universe until-future for-one. From-<1>-him no-person-expression for-two. If you(pl.) say-to-self, "Not-so this-matter", then from-you(pl.) beast-expression until-future.

the nullar of **jítsm**. But **khétsm**ı is an *opposing* word, a word that is actively opposed to the truth, not just a mere lack of truthful words.

²¹Just as to be in no-universe is to not exist, to be in the universe is to exist, to live.

 $^{^{22}}$ uro kijhidí: the uro refers to the exemplary person discussed in the previous sentences; the ki- associative prefix emphasizes that the jhidí here is referring to that person, and not to the \mathbf{sjhid} í of the speaker's audience. The reappearance of \mathbf{sjhid} í without the ki- prefix later in the closing sentence emphasizes that it is referring to the original \mathbf{sjhid} í, the speaker's audience, rather than to the kijhidí here.